



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,602	03/30/2001	Joseph Jeddeloh	MIC-4	6053
1473 7590 01/28/2008 ROPES & GRAY LLP PATENT DOCKETING 39/361 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704			EXAMINER CHEN, TSE W	
			ART UNIT 2116	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/28/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/823,602	Applicant(s) JEDDELOH, JOSEPH	
	Examiner Tse Chen	Art Unit 2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 and 43-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 and 43-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 9, 13-15, 21, 25-26, 29, 31-32, 38, 43-45, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens et al., US Patent 6226729, hereinafter Stevens, in view of Hartwell, US Patent 6724850, and Ikeda, US Patent 6487086.

3. In re claim 1, Stevens discloses a method of selecting an operating speed [channel frequency] of a memory module interface [interfaces 530, 540, 544] in a computer system [fig.5], said system comprising a central processing unit [processor 595], a memory controller [MCH 500], and a plurality of memory modules [560, 565, 570], each memory module comprising a serial presence detect memory [572] [col.11, l.66 – col.12, l.14], said method comprising:

- Counting the number of said memory modules [fig.8a, 850; col.12, ll.62-67; table 4].
- Keeping a running tally [rim count] of the number of said memory modules based on said counting [850].
- Generating a clock signal at a frequency to provide an operating speed of said memory module interface [col.13, ll.41-49].

- Determining a maximum speed at which all of the plurality of memory modules can operate [col.13, ll.41-45; col.18, ll.51-54; implicitly, determining a maximum speed at which all of the plurality of memory modules can operate to be more efficient].
 - Accessing data [i.e., indicating associated frequencies of each RIMM, with one frequency to be selected for all RIMMs] containing a plurality of memory clock frequencies each associated with a type of memory modules [e.g., RIMM] [col.13, ll.41-45].
 - Selecting only one clock signal to provide an operating speed [channel frequency] of said memory module interface [col.13, ll.41-45; frequency selected based on final tally of every memory modules that are operable with frequency – i.e., selected frequency is to be operable with final tally of memory modules].
 - In response to said selecting, providing said selected clock signal to all of said memory modules [col.13, ll.41-49].
4. Stevens discloses generating multiple clock signals at different frequencies [col.13, ll.41-49], but did not disclose that the clock signals are generated simultaneously. Hartwell discloses a method comprising simultaneously generating multiple clock signals at different frequencies [slow and fast] [col.2, l.52 – col.3, l.10]. Since both references teach the concept of generating multiple clock signals at different frequencies, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one form [e.g., simultaneously] for the other [e.g., one at a time] to achieve the predictable result of multiple clock signals at different frequencies to provide selectable operating speeds of said memory module interface.
5. Stevens discloses selecting only one clock signal to provide an operating speed [channel frequency] of said memory module interface [col.13, ll.41-45], but did not disclose selecting the

operating speed to be slower than the determined maximum speed, based on comparing a final tally of the number of said memory modules with a look up table.

6. Ikeda discloses a method for selecting an operating speed of a memory module [10c] interface in a computer system [personal computer] [col.1, ll.11-35] said method comprising:

- Accessing data containing a plurality of memory clock frequencies each associated with a number and a type [e.g., DRAM] of memory modules [col.1, ll.45-62].
- Based on comparing a final tally [e.g., 4] of the number of said memory modules with the data, selecting only one clock signal [e.g., 100 MHz] to provide an operating speed of said memory module interface, wherein said operating speed is slower than the maximum speed [e.g., 133 MHz or more] [col.1, ll.45-62; although the memory modules can operate at maximum speeds greater than 133 MHz, the slower 100 MHz based on the final tally of 4 memory modules is provided as the operating speed to avoid reflections and distortions of signals; implicitly, teaching of relationship between frequency and number of memory modules indicates frequency can be selected based on number of memory modules].

7. Ikeda did not disclose using a look-up table to organize the data [inherently, data containing associations between elements is organized in some form; assume Ikeda does not use a look-up table]. Hartwell [tables 1-4] and Stevens [table1] both teach the well known concept of using a look-up table to organize data containing associations between elements. Since the references teach the concept of organizing data in some form, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one form [e.g., look-up table] for the other [e.g., graph] to

achieve the predictable result of data containing a plurality of memory clock frequencies each associated with a number and a type.

8. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Ikeda and Stevens before him at the time the invention was made, to incorporate Ikeda's teaching of selecting the operating speed to be slower than the determined maximum speed, based on comparing a final tally of the number and type of said memory modules into the system of Stevens, in order to account for reflections and distortions in conventional memory structures [Ikeda: col.1, ll.32-35, ll.60-62]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to account for limitations in signal transmissions.

9. As to claim 2, Stevens and Ikeda discloses, wherein said selecting comprises generating memory module interface signals comprising clock, address, and data signals at a frequency based on said final tally of the number of said memory module count and operating speed information of said memory modules [Stevens: col.11, l.35 – col.12, l.22; Ikeda: col.1, ll.45-62].

10. As to claim 3, Stevens and Ikeda discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., memory type] of said memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [Stevens: col.13, ll.41-49; Ikeda: col.1, ll.45-62].

11. In re claim 9, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 1 and 3.

12. In re claims 13, 25, 31, 43, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens

disclose the method of operating the computer system; therefore, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose the computer system and associated means. Stevens discloses wherein said memory controller:

- Accesses said serial presence detect memory [col.11, l.66 – col.12, l.14].
- Keeps a running tally of the number of said memory modules based on said accesses to said serial presence detect memory [fig.8a, 850; col.12, ll.62-67; table 4].
- Selects one of the clock frequencies for driving said memory module interface [col.13, ll.41-45].

13. As to claim 14, Stevens discloses that the central processing unit is a microprocessor [processor 595].

14. As to claim 15, Stevens and Ikeda discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., memory type] of said memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [Stevens: col.13, ll.41-49; Ikeda: col.1, ll.45-62].

15. In re claims 21, 26, 29, 38, 51, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 3 and 13. Hartwell discloses a computer system [data processing system 100] comprising at least two phase locked loops [PLL 1 and 3] to generate respective clock signals of different frequencies [slow and fast] [col.2, l.52 – col.3, l.10].

16. As to claim 32, Stevens discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., memory type] of said

memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [Stevens: col.13, ll.41-49; Ikeda: col.1, ll.45-62].

17. As to claim 44, Stevens discloses, wherein said selecting comprises generating memory module interface signals comprising clock, address, and data signals at a frequency based on said final tally of the number of said memory module count and operating speed information of said memory modules [col.11, l.35 – col.12, l.22; col.13, ll.41-56; frequency selected based on queried frequency that is operable with final tally of every memory modules – weakest link].

18. As to claim 45, Stevens and Ikeda discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., memory type] of said memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [Stevens: col.13, ll.41-49; Ikeda: col.1, ll.45-62].

19. Claims 4-5, 7-8, 10-12, 16-17, 19-20, 22-24, 27-28, 30, 33-34, 36-37, 39-41, 46-47, 49-50, 52-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens as applied to claims 3, 13, 21 above, and further in view of Johnson et al., US Patent 5577236, hereinafter Johnson.

20. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens did not discuss the details [e.g., specific data] of selecting one of the operating speeds.

21. Johnson discloses a method comprising obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory [flash memory] that includes at least one characteristic [factors 2-4] of a memory

module, wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules [factor 1] and said characteristic [col.8, ll.33-45; col.9, ll.4-18].

22. As to claims 4, 11, 16, 22-23, 33, 40, 46, 52, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises the number of components [memory circuits] in each said memory module [col.9, ll.9-10].

23. As to claims 5, 12, 17, 24, 30, 34, 39, 41, 47, 53, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises a speed grade [sort] of said memory module [col.9, ll.17-18].

24. As to claims 7, 10, 19, 27, 36, 49, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises a type of said memory module [col.8, ll.33-41].

25. As to claim 8, 20, 28, 37, 50, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises a physical layout of signal connections between said memory controller and said memory module [col.9, ll.11-16].

26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Johnson, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens to include the explicit teachings of Johnson [i.e., relating to specific serial presence detect data to be retrieved], in order to obtain the system capable of obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic of a memory module, wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and the specific characteristic. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a

combination as it provides a way to accurately read data from a memory that may vary in numbers and other attributes [Johnson: col.2, l.46 – col.3, l.50].

27. Claims 6, 18, 35, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens as applied to claims 3, 13, 21 above, and further in view of Olarig et al., US Patent 6134638, hereinafter Olarig.

28. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens did not discuss the details [e.g., specific data] of selecting one of the operating speeds.

29. Olarig discloses a method comprising obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic of a memory module [114], wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on said characteristic, wherein said characteristic comprises a manufacturer of said memory module [table 1; col.10, ll.25-37].

30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Olarig, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens to include the explicit teachings of Olarig [i.e., relating to specific serial presence detect data to be retrieved], in order to obtain the system capable of obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic of a memory module, wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and the specific characteristic of the manufacturer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to determine the preferred clock frequency and timing characteristics of the memory module [Olarig: col.10, ll.25-37].

Response to Arguments

31. Applicant's arguments [parts A and B, pp. 27-29] with respect to Stevens regarding the limitations "accessing a look-up table containing a plurality of memory clock frequencies each associated with a number and a type of memory modules" and "based on at least comparing a final tally of the number of said memory modules with the look-up table" have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

32. Applicant's arguments [part C, pp. 29-30] with respect to Ikeda have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Ikeda does not teach a method for selecting an operating speed of a memory controller based on the number of memory modules: "instead of *adjusting* the clock frequencies based on the number of memory modules, Ikeda suggests that in prior art systems a clock speed was selected and that the number of memory modules that can be connected would be determined based on this number". Examiner disagrees and submits that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art, not an automaton, would recognize that Ikeda's teaching of the relationship between operating speed and number of memory modules implicitly indicates operating speed can be selected based on number of memory modules, particularly when the combined teachings of Stevens and Ikeda are viewed together [i.e., Stevens teaches selecting a frequency for all memory modules, while Ikeda teaches the criteria of selecting an optimal frequency based on said relationship].

33. Other claims were not argued separately.

Conclusion

34. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
09/823,602
Art Unit: 2116

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'T' and 'C' followed by a long horizontal stroke.

Tse Chen
January 18, 2008